

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,786	07/25/2006	David Deperthes	KZY-003US	4550
959 LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP FLOOR 30, SUITE 3000 ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE			EXAMINER	
			LEE, JAE W	
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,786	DEPERTHES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAE W. LEE	1656		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

THE DEDLY FILED OF May 2010 FAILS TO DEACH THIS ADDITION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE

	THE FET TIELD 05 WAY 2010 TAILS TO FEACE THIS AFFEIGATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🗵	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time

periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory received for revel expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term ediplication.

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

\( \text{\text{\$\text{\$The Notice of Appeal was filed on \( \frac{05 May 2010.}{24} \). A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof \( \text{\$\text{\$The Notice of Appeal and the appeal.}} \) Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

# <u>AMENDMENTS</u>

- Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

   (a) Interpraise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
   (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
   (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
  - appeal; and/or

    (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

(d) I ney present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nu

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

- Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: 6.9.10.17.28-34.36.38-40.42.46-50.52.54.56.58.63-65.68 and 69.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 41,51,53,55,57,59,62,66 and 67.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. I The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other:

/SUZANNE M. NOAKES/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' amendment after final rejection, filed on 05/05/2010, is acknowledged. In the amendment, claims 70-79 recite new claim limitations which have not been presented for examination on the merits, i.e., step (e) of claim 70 and all of claims 76-79. Therefore, Applicants' amendment of Claims 70-79 would introduce new issues that would require further consideration if entered because these limitations have yet to be presented for examination on the merits.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Previous rejections of record remain in light of the non-entry of the Applicants' proposed amendment.